

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                                  | FILING DATE    | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR    | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
|--|----------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| 09/876,755                                       | 06/06/2001     | Elia Arambula Mouchawar | A01P1043                | A01P1043 1874    |  |
| 7  | 590 10/03/2003 |                         | EXAMI                   | EXAMINER         |  |
| PACESETTER, INC.                                 |                |                         | BOCKELMAN, MARK         |                  |  |
| 15900 Valley View Court<br>Sylmar, CA 91392-9221 |                |                         | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER     |  |
| •  |                |                         | 3762                    |                  |  |
|  |                |                         | DATE MAILED: 10/03/2003 | 9                |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |          |  |  |  |  |  |
|---|---------------------------------------|----------|--|--|--|--|--|
|   | Application No.                       | · /      | Applicant(s)                                       |  |  |  |  |
|   | 09/876,755                            | ľ        | MOUCHAWAR ET AL.                                   |  |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary   | Examiner                              | ,        | Art Unit   |  |  |  |  |
|   | Mark W Bockelma                       |          | 3762   |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply  |                                       |          |  |  |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status |                                       |          |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on  | <u> </u>                              |          |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2a)☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)☐ Thi   | is action is non-fir                  | nal.     |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  |                                       |          |  |  |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims   |                                       |          |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-35 is/are pending in the application.   |                                       |          |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  |                                       |          |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.   |                                       |          |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.   | 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.          |          |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8) Claim(s) 1-35 are subject to restriction and/or e  | alaction requireme                    | ant      |  |  |  |  |  |
| Application Papers  | siection requirem                     | ын.<br>- |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  |                                       |          |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.   |                                       |          |  |  |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).   |                                       |          |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) □ approved b) □ disapproved by the Examiner.  |                                       |          |  |  |  |  |  |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  |                                       |          |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.   |                                       |          |  |  |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120   |                                       |          |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).   |                                       |          |  |  |  |  |  |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  |                                       |          |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.   |                                       |          |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  |                                       |          |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>   |                                       |          |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  |                                       |          |  |  |  |  |  |
| a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  |                                       |          |  |  |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)   |                                       |          |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)   | 5) 🔲                                  |          | PTO-413) Paper No(s)<br>tent Application (PTO-152) |  |  |  |  |

Application/Control Number: 09/876,755

Art Unit: 3762

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-14, drawn to a method of performing electrophysiological testing, classified in class 128, subclass 898.
- II. Claims 15-35 drawn to a device for performing physiological testing, classified in class 607, subclass 27.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the method steps can be performed by the physician rather than the circuitry and particular means in applicant's specification as recited in the apparatus claims.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Application/Control Number: 09/876,755

Art Unit: 3762

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark W Bockelman whose telephone number is (703)-308-2112. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Angela Sykes can be reached on (703) 308-5181. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)-308-0858.

Mark Bockelman Primary Examiner

**MWB** 

September 30, 2003